is precisely because of groundwater contamination caused by MTBE that Connecticut has banned its use as a gasoline additive effective January 1, 2004. MTBE has been proven to be especially harmful; we likely do not yet know how much damage it has done or perhaps will do [to people]. It may be premature at this time to provide such immunity.

There is a growing body of evidence that this gasoline additive could have caused great damage to people and now we are going to reach back to September 5 of this year and provide immunity to the producers of this product to the great detriment of maybe millions of people in this country. What is that doing in this bill? We talk about tort reform, and here we are providing immunity.

The idea in this bill that we would provide immunity from recovery for people who get sick and suffer as a result of being exposed to MTBE, I think is outrageous.

I am confident my colleague from New York, Senator Schumer, has spoken eloquently on this subject matter. I heard him address the matter the other day in a closed meeting of Senators, and I was moved by the evidence that he provided to us. I am confident he has or will lay it out again here. So I will not dwell on it.

It's bad enough we provide immunity, but now we are going to provide MTBE producers with \$2 billion in assistance, in preparation for a ban effective 11 years from now.

Lastly, I mention a rather parochial matter and I don't want to make my opposition to this bill based on parochial issues. But my constituents are very concerned about a provision in this bill that was written into the bill in conference—never in the House bill, never in the Senate bill-and really tramples all over States rights. It would codify a Department of Energy order that resulted in the operation of the Cross Long Island Sound Cable that New Haven, CTruns from Brookhaven.

This Cross Sound Cable was not operational before the August 14 blackout because the cable failed to meet the Federal and State permitting requirements concerning its depth. Section 1441 of the bill states:

The Department of Energy order No. 202–03–2, issued by the Secretary of Energy on August 28, shall remain in effect unless rescinded by Federal statute.

You may say, "I am sorry that has happened to your State, Senator," but it could be yours next.

We didn't argue during the blackout about allowing that cable to be used, but its continued operation violates state and federal permitting requirements. But that emergency is over. Yet, written into statutory law, now it says, whether we like it or not, this temporary order is now permanent and it will require a Federal statute to overturn it. Not even FERC can overturn it. I have to pass a bill in the Senate to overturn it.

I grant you it is a local issue, but you ought to be worried about it. That is

what happens around here: The precedent gets set.

These are several of the reasons why I believe this bill deserves to be sent back.

It is November. We have another session of Congress coming up. Why can't we go back and do some work on this? I have to believe that most Members think that this bill is just too tilted in one direction. It is not in the best interest of our country to be adopting this type of energy policy.

As I mentioned earlier, knowing how important it is for our economy, for our energy self-sufficiency, for our environment, and for health reasons, this legislation deserves reconsideration. It is not balanced.

So I hope when the hour arrives tomorrow morning, our colleagues respond. This is the kind of bill we will spend a good part of the next decade undoing. When people discover what is really in this bill, they will want to make changes. I think a wiser course of action would be to go back and correct the legislation now and have a bill that would enjoy broad bipartisan support. Instead, there will be broad bipartisan opposition to invoking cloture tomorrow.

These new provisions giving extraordinary power to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission are really stunning in their scope and breadth. I am rather amazed that there has not been more outspoken opposition to this, in more predictable quarters, when States rights are involved.

I mentioned earlier the issue of health. I pointed out that dirty air from outside our State impacts our air quality. It is a major cause of asthma and may play a role in the development of that disease.

An estimated 86,000 of Connecticut children have asthma that's 10.4 percent of the children in my state. And 7.3 percent of the adult population, approximately 180,000, have it as well. I represent a small State, about 3.5 million people. These are significant numbers.

The fact that this bill rolls back the provisions on air quality is going to mean that people in Connecticut are going to suffer. If for no other reason, this bill ought to be sent back.

We are going to debate Medicare in a few days and talk about how to keep down costs. Asthma doesn't go away. In fact, there is nothing worse than an adult onset of asthma. I know because my wife has it and she didn't have it as a kid. It is crippling. Anybody who has it or has a family member with it knows what I am talking about.

There is time left to do this bill right. I hope this institution would take a moment to do so.

I yield the floor.

# ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 9:30 A.M. TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate stands in adjournment until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow.

Whereupon, the Senate, at 9:38 p.m., adjourned until Friday, November 21, 2003, at 9:30 a.m.

## NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate November 20, 2003:

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

STUART W. HOLLIDAY, OF TEXAS, TO BE AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SESSIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS DURING HIS TENURE OF SERVICE AS ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR SPECIAL POLITICAL AFFAIRS IN THE UNITED NATIONS.

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

JONATHAN BARON, OF MARYLAND, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

ELIZABETH ANN BRYAN, OF TEXAS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

JAMES R. DAVIS, OF MISSISSIPPI, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

ROBERT C. GRANGER, OF NEW JERSEY, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

FRANK PHILIP HANDY, OF FLORIDA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

ERIC ALAN HANUSHEK, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE A MEM-BER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS (NEW POSITION)

CAROLINE M. HOXBY, OF MASSACHUSETTS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS (NEW POSITION)

OF FOUR YEARS. (NEW POSITION)
GERALD LEE, OF PENNSYLVANIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD
FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS.
(NEW POSITION)

ROBERTO IBARRA LOPEZ, OF TEXAS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS. (NEW POSITION)
RICHARD JAMES MILGRAM, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE A

RICHARD JAMES MILGRAM, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS, (NEW POSITION)

SALLY EPSTEIN SHAYWITZ, OF CONNECTICUT, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

JOSEPH K. TORGESEN, OF FLORIDA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

HERBERT JOHN WALBERG, OF ILLINOIS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD FOR EDUCATION SCIENCES FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS. (NEW POSITION)

#### NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

RONALD E. MEISBERG, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD FOR THE TERM OF FIVE YEARS EXPIRING AUGUST 27, 2008, VICE RENE ACOSTA, RESIGNED.

# IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADES INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

### To be major general

BRIGADIER GENERAL ROGER P LEMPKE, 0000 BRIGADIER GENERAL ALBERT P RICHARDS JR., 0000 BRIGADIER GENERAL ALBERT H WILKENING, 0000

#### To be brigadier general

COLONEL TERRY L BUTLER, 0000
COLONEL JOHN A CAPUTO, 0000
COLONEL RICHARD H CLEVENGER, 0000
COLONEL MICHAEL D DUBIE, 0000
COLONEL JERALD L BENGELMAN, 0000
COLONEL JERALD L BENGELMAN, 0000
COLONEL BUWARD R FLORA, 0000
COLONEL RUFUS L FORREST JR., 0000
COLONEL RUFUS L FORREST JR., 0000
COLONEL TERRY P HEGGEMEIER, 0000
COLONEL TERRY P HEGGEMEIER, 0000
COLONEL TERRY P HEGGEMEIER, 0000
COLONEL VERGEL L LATTIMORE, 0000
COLONEL VERGEL L LATTIMORE, 0000
COLONEL JAMES K ROBINSON, 0000
COLONEL JAMES K ROBINSON, 0000
COLONEL JAMES K ROBINSON, 0000
COLONEL JAMES P TOSCANO, 0000
COLONEL JAMES P TOSCANO, 0000
COLONEL JAMES P TOSCANO, 0000

THE FOLLOWING AIR NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE